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#### Abstract

The prime concern of this paper is to derive the bicomplex analogue of the existence theorem of fixed points of order one of entire functions. We discuss some lemmas and prove the existence of an entire function $f(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ which has the given fixed points $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots$ with given multipliers $b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots$ respectively, provided that the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ has no finite limit point in $\mathbb{C}_{2}$. Finally, we give some examples having different kind of fixed points.
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## 1. Introduction

The theory of bi-complex numbers is a matter of active research for quite a long time since the seminal work of Segre [5] in search of special algebra. The algebra of bi-complex numbers are widely used in the literature as it becomes a viable commutative alternative ([3], [4], [7], [8]) to the non-commutative skew field of quaternions introduced by Hamilton [3] (both are fourdimensional and generalization of complex numbers). We denote the complex plane by $\mathbb{C}_{1}$ throughout the paper. Consider two $\mathbb{C}_{1}$ functions $u, v$ from $\mathbb{R}_{2}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right): x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. It is well known that if these two functions satisfy the so called Cauchy-Riemann system $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{2}}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}=$ $-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{1}}$ then the function $f\left(x_{1}+i x_{2}\right)=u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+$ $i v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ admits complex derivative. There are different ways to attempt to generalize this observation to the case of more pairs of real variables. To this purpose, we propose to complexify the Cauchy-Riemann system and to apply it two pairs of holomorphic functions $u, v$ from $\mathbb{C}_{2}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right): z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{C}_{1}\right\}$ to $\mathbb{C}_{1}$ so that the pair $(u, v)$ can be interpreted as a map of $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ to itself. It is then to think whether it makes any sense to consider the pairs $(u, v)$ for which the following system is satisfied: $\frac{\partial u}{\partial z_{1}}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial z_{2}}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_{2}}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial z_{1}}$.

Formally, we have replaced $\mathbb{R}$ by $\mathbb{C}_{1}$ and differentiability in the real sense by holomorphicity.

As it turns out, it is possible to give a very interesting interpretation of this complexified Cauchy-Riemann system, if we endow the pair $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ with a special algebraic structure. Instead of considering $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ as a point in $\mathbb{C}_{2}$, we now consider in analogy with what we did in the case of $\mathbb{R}_{2}$, a new space where the elements are of the form $z=z_{1}+j z_{2}$, where $j$ is a new imaginary unit (i.e., $j^{2}=-1$ ), which commuted with the original imaginary unit $i$. This creates a new algebra, the algebra of bi-complex numbers, defined by $\mathbb{C}_{2}=$ $\left\{z=z_{1}+j z_{2}: z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{C}_{1}\right\}$ and as we will discuss in the next part of this paper, such an algebra enjoys most of the properties one would expect from a good generalization of the field of complex numbers. A bicomplex number is defined as $z=$ $x_{0}+i x_{1}+j x_{2}+i j x_{3}$, where $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ are real numbers with $i^{2}=j^{2}=-1$ and $i j=j i,(i j)^{2}=1$, $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ becomes a real commutative algebra with identity $1=1+i 0+j 0+i j 0$, with standard binary composition.
So we can say that the bi-complex numbers are complex numbers with complex coefficients, which explains the name of bi-complex numbers.

Definition 1.1 Given a bi-complex number $z=$ $z_{1}+j z_{2}$, its bi-complex conjugate is defined by $z^{\prime}=z_{1}-j z_{2}$.
We immediately note that $z z^{\prime}=z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2} \in \mathbb{C}_{1}$. So in particular we can say that a bi-complex number $z=$ $z_{1}+j z_{2}$ is invertible if and only if $z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2} \neq 0$ and the inverse of $z$ is given by $z^{-1}=\frac{z^{\prime}}{z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}}$. If both $z_{1}, z_{2}$ are nonzero but the sum $z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}$ is zero, then the corresponding bi-complex number $z=z_{1}+$ $j z_{2}$ is a zero divisor. In fact, all zero divisors in $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ are characterized by the equations $z_{1}^{2}=-z_{2}^{2}$ i.e., $z_{1}= \pm i z_{2}$. Thus, all zero divisors are of the form $z=$ $\lambda(1 \pm i j)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. The following definitions are immediate from the above discussion:

Definition 1.2 There are two non trivial elements $e_{1}=\frac{1+i j}{2}$ and $e_{2}=\frac{1-i j}{2}$ in $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ with the properties $e_{1}^{2}=e_{1}, e_{2}^{2}=e_{2}, e_{1} e_{2}=e_{2} e_{1}=0$ and $e_{1}+e_{2}=1$ which are called the idempotent elements in $\mathbb{C}_{2}$.

Definition 1.3 Any bi-complex number $z=z_{1}+$ $j z_{2}$ can be written as $z=\alpha e_{2}+\beta e_{2}$ where $\alpha=$ $z_{1}-i z_{2}$ and $\beta=z_{1}+i z_{2}$ are uniquely defined complex numbers. This is known as the idempotent representation of $z$.
These results a pair of mutually complementary projections: $P_{1}:\left(z_{1}+j z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}_{2} \mapsto\left(z_{1}-j z_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}_{1}$ and $P_{2}:\left(z_{1}+j z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}_{2} \mapsto\left(z_{1}+j z_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}_{1}$.

Definition 1.4 An element $z=z_{1}+j z_{2}$ is singular if and only if $\left|z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right|=0$. The set of singular elements is denoted as $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and is defined by $O_{2}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}_{2}: z\right.$ is the collection of all complex multiple of $e_{1}$ and $\left.e_{2}\right\}$.

Definition 1.5 The norm $\|\|:. \mathbb{C}_{2} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$ of a bi-complex number is defined as $\|z\|=$ $\sqrt{\left\{\left|z_{1}^{2}\right|+\left|z_{2}^{2}\right|\right\}}=\sqrt{x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}}$.

### 1.1 Bi-complex function

We start with a bi-complex valued function $f: \Omega \subset$ $\mathbb{C}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$. The derivative of $f$ at a point $z_{0} \in \Omega$ is defined by $f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(z_{0}+h\right)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{h}$ provided the limit exists and the domain is so chosen that $h=$ $h_{0}+i h_{1}+j h_{2}+i j h_{3}$ is invertible. It is easy to prove that h is not invertible only for $h_{0}=-h_{3}, h_{1}=h_{2}$ or $h_{0}=h_{3}, h_{1}=-h_{2}$. If the bi-complex derivative of $f$ exists at each point of its domain $\Omega$ then in similar to complex function, $f$ will be a bi-complex holomorphic function in $\Omega$. Indeed, if $f$ can be expressed as $f(z)=g_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)+j g_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, where $z=z_{1}+j z_{2} \in \Omega$, then $f$ will be holomorphic if and only if $g_{1}, g_{2}$ are both complex holomorphic in $z_{1}, z_{2}$ and $\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}=\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}, \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial z_{2}}=-\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial z_{1}}$. Moreover, $f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial z_{2}}+$ $j \frac{\partial g 2}{\partial z 1}$ and it is invertible only when det $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} & \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial z_{2}} \\ \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial z_{1}} & \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}\end{array}\right) \neq$ 0 . Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$ where $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{2}$ be a bi-complex valued holomorphic function. Now the fixed points of $f(z)$ are the points $z^{\prime}$ for which $f\left(z^{\prime}\right)=z^{\prime}$. Now, since $f(z)$ is bi-complex valued, so $f(z)=$ $f_{1}(\alpha) e_{1}+f_{2}(\beta) e_{2}$, where $\alpha=z_{1}-i z_{2}, \beta=z_{1}+i z_{2}$ and $z=\alpha e_{1}+\beta e_{2}=z_{1}+j z_{2}$. Now, $f\left(z^{\prime}\right)=z^{\prime}$ implies that $f_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) e_{1}+f_{2}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right) e_{2}=\alpha^{\prime} e_{1}+\beta^{\prime} e_{2}$. This gives us that, $f_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}$ and $f_{2}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)=\beta^{\prime}$. So, the fixed points of $f(z)$ implies the fixed points of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ at some points, where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are complex valued functions. The existence and distribution of the fixed points of entire functions $f(z)$ of the bicomplex variable $z$ are important in the study of iteration of these functions.

Definition 1.6 The number $f^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \equiv \frac{d}{d z} f(z)$ at $z=$ $z^{\prime}$ is called the multiplier of $z^{\prime}$.
For integral $n>0$, the iterates of $f(z)$ are defined by, $z_{1}=f(z), z_{n}=f\left(z_{n-1}\right)=f_{n}(z)=f_{n-1}(f(z))$.
Thus, $z_{1}=f(z)=\left(f_{1} e_{1}+f_{2} e_{2}\right)(z)=\left(f_{1} e_{1}+f_{2} e_{2}\right)$
$\left(\alpha e_{1}+\beta e_{2}\right)=f_{1}(\alpha) e_{1}+f_{2}(\beta) e_{2}$ and $z_{2}=f\left(z_{1}\right)=$ $f(f(z))=f_{2}(z)=\left(f_{1} e_{1}+f_{2} e_{2}\right)\left(\left(f_{1} e_{1}+2 e_{2}\right)\left(\alpha e_{1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\beta e_{2}\right)\right)=\left(f_{1}^{2} e_{1}+f_{2}^{2} e_{2}\right)\left(\alpha e_{1}+\beta e_{2}\right)=f_{1}^{2}(\alpha) e_{1}+$
$f_{2}^{2}(\beta) e_{2}$. Similarly, $\quad z_{n}=f\left(z_{n-1}\right)=f_{1}^{n}(\alpha) e_{1}+$ $f_{2}^{n}(\beta) e_{2}$. Thus iterates of positive integral order of entire functions satisfying the functional equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{m}\left(f_{n}(z)\right)=f_{n}\left(f_{m}(z)\right)=f_{m+n}(z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.7 For integral $p>0$, the fixed points of order $p$ of $f(z)$ are those points $z^{\prime}$ for which $f^{p}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=z^{\prime}$ where, $f^{k}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \neq z^{\prime}$ for $k<p$.
Thus fixed points of order one of $f(z)$ are those points $z^{\prime}$ for which $f_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \equiv f\left(z^{\prime}\right)=z^{\prime}$, which is already defined. Next we classify fixed points of order $p$ of $f(z)$ in the following manner and the following definition is immediate:

Definition 1.8 The fixed points of order $p(p>0$ being an integer) of $f(z)$ are classified as attractive, indifferent or repulsive according as their modulii of multipliers $f_{p}^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ are $<1,=1$ or $>$ 1.

In this paper our main target is to establish the existence theorem of fixed points of order one of entire function under the flavour of bi-complex analysis. We do not explain the standard theories, definitions and notations of bi-complex analysis as those are available in [2], [3], [4], [5], [6].

## 2. Some lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1 If $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is an arbitrary sequence of complex numbers different from zero and whose sole limit point is $\infty$ and if $m$ is a non negative integer, then there exist an entire function $G(z)$ having roots at the points $z 1, z 2, \cdots$ (and these points only) and a root of multiplicity $m$ at the point zero. Further, $G(z)$ can be defined by the absolutely uniformly convergent product

$$
G(z)=e^{g(z)} z^{m} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{z}{z_{n}}\right) e^{Q_{v}\left(\frac{z}{z_{n}}\right)}
$$

where $e^{g(z)}$ is an arbitrary entire function and $Q_{v}(z)$ is a polynomial such that

$$
Q_{v}(z)=z+\frac{z^{2}}{2}+\cdots+\frac{z^{v}}{v}
$$

The non negative integer $v$ has the property that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|z_{n}\right|^{v+1}}$ converges uniformly in the whole complex plane.

Remark 2.1 Lemma 2.1 is known as Weierstrass's factorization theorem of entire functions in the theory of complex analysis.
The next lemma is the bi-complex analogue of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2 [1] Let $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, \ldots$ be any sequence of bi-complex numbers and infinity be the only limit point of it. Then it is possible to construct an entire function of bi-complex variable which vanishes at each of these points $z_{n}$.

## 3. Main results

In this section, we present the main result of the paper. The following theorem ensures the existence of fixed points of order one of a bi-complex valued function.

Theorem 3.1 There exists an entire function $f(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ which has the given fixed points $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ with given multipliers $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots$ respectively, provided that the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ has no finite limit point in $\mathbb{C}_{2}$.

Proof. Since $f(z)$ is a bi-complex valued function, therefore $f(z)$ can be expressed as $f(z)=f_{1}(\alpha) e_{1}+$ $f_{2}(\beta) e_{2}$ where $z=\alpha e_{1}+\beta e_{2}$. Also, $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ for $i=$ $1,2,3, \ldots$ are all bi-complex numbers, therefore $a_{i}=$ $a_{i}^{\prime e_{1}}+a_{i}^{\prime \prime} e_{2}$ and $b_{i}=b_{i}^{\prime} e_{1}+b_{i}^{\prime \prime} e_{2}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots$. First let $h(z)$ be an entire function whose zeros are precisely the points an and such that $a_{n}$ is a simple zero if $b_{n} \neq 1$ and a double zero if $b_{n}=1$ so that, $h^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right) \neq 0$ if $b_{n} \neq 1$ while $h^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)=0$ if $b_{n}=1$. Now, $h\left(a_{n}\right)=0$ implies that $h\left(a_{n}^{\prime} e_{1}+a_{n}^{\prime \prime} e_{2}\right)=0$. That is $h_{1}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right) e_{1}+h_{2}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) e_{2}=0=0 e_{1}+0 e_{2}$. Again this implies $h_{1}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $h_{2}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$. So what we need to prove is $f^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)=b_{n}$ if $b_{n} \neq 1$. That is $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right) e_{1}+f_{2}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) e_{2}=b_{n}^{\prime} e_{1}+b_{n}^{\prime \prime} e_{2}$ if $b_{n}^{\prime} e_{1}+b_{n}^{\prime \prime} e_{2} \neq$ $1=e_{1}+e_{2}$. This implies $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)=b_{n}^{\prime}, f_{2}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) b_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ if $b_{n}^{\prime} \neq 1, b_{n}^{\prime \prime} \neq 1$. Also we need to prove $f^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)=1$ if $b_{n}=1$. That is $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right) e_{1}+f_{2}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) e_{2}=e_{1}+e_{2}$ if $b_{n}^{\prime} e_{1}+b_{n}^{\prime \prime} e_{2}=1=e_{1}+e_{2}$. This implies that $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)=1, f_{2}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$ if $b_{n}^{\prime}=1, b_{n}^{\prime \prime}=1$. As the existence of fixed points of order one of $f$ implies the same for $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ so $h(z)=h_{1}(\alpha) e_{1}+h_{2}(\beta) e_{2}$ may be constructed by Weierstrass's factorization theorem for entire functions. Let $k(z)=k_{1}(\alpha) e_{1}+$ $k_{2}(\beta) e_{2}$ be an bi-complex valued entire function such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{1}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right) & =\log \left[\frac{b_{n}^{\prime}-1}{h_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)}\right] \text { if } b_{n}^{\prime} \neq 1 \\
& =1 \text { if } b_{n}^{\prime}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{2}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\log \left[\frac{b_{n}^{\prime \prime}-1}{h_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right] \text { if } b_{n}^{\prime \prime} \neq 1 \\
& =1 \text { if } b_{n}^{\prime}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

where any determination of the logarithm may be taken. Now let us put $f_{1}(\alpha)=\alpha+h_{1}(\alpha) \exp \left\{k_{1}(\alpha)\right\}$ and $f_{2}(\beta)=\beta+h_{2}(\beta) \exp \left\{k_{2}(\beta)\right\}$.
Clearly, the fixed points of $f_{1}(\alpha)$ and $f_{2}(\beta)$ are the zeros of $h_{1}(\alpha)$ and $h_{2}(\beta)$ respectively. Let us now examine the multipliers of $a_{n}^{\prime}$ and $a_{n}^{\prime \prime}$. We have
$f_{1}^{\prime}(\alpha)=1+h_{1}^{\prime}(\alpha) \exp \left\{k_{1}(\alpha)\right\}+h_{1}(\alpha) \exp \left\{k_{1}(\alpha)\right\}$
$k_{1}^{\prime}(\alpha)$. This gives that $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)=1+$ $h_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right) \exp \left\{k_{1}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}+h_{1}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right) \exp \left\{k_{1}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\} k_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.
Similarly $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)=1+h_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) \exp \left\{k_{1}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}+h_{1}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ $\exp \left\{k_{1}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} k_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. So, if $b_{n} \neq 1$, i.e., $b_{n}^{\prime} \neq 1$ and $b_{n}^{\prime \prime} \neq 1$, we have,

$$
f_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)=1+h_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)\left[\frac{b_{n}^{\prime}-1}{h_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)}\right]+0\left[\frac{b_{n}^{\prime}-1}{h_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)}\right] k_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
=b_{n}^{\prime}, \text { since, } h_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0
$$

Like wise $f_{2}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)=b_{n}^{\prime \prime}$. Again if $b_{n}=1$, we have, $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)=1+h_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right) 0+0 \exp \left\{k_{1}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}=1, \quad$ since $h_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$. In a like manner $f_{2}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$. Therefore, the multiplier of $a_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right) & =\left(f_{1}^{\prime} e_{1}+f_{2}^{\prime} e_{2}\right)\left(a_{n}\right) \\
& =f_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right) e_{1}+f_{2}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) e_{2} \\
& =b_{n}^{\prime} e_{1}+b_{n}^{\prime \prime} e_{2}, \text { if } b_{n}^{\prime}, b_{n}^{\prime \prime} \neq 1 \\
& =b_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right) & =\left(f_{1}^{\prime} e_{1}+f_{2}^{\prime} e_{2}\right)\left(a_{n}\right) \\
& =f_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right) e_{1}+f_{2}^{\prime}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) e_{2} \\
& =e_{1}+e_{2}, \text { if } b_{n}^{\prime}, b_{n}^{\prime \prime}=1 \\
& =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)=b_{n}, \text { if } b_{n} \neq 1 \\
=1, \text { if } b_{n}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

This proves the theorem.
Remark 3.1 The converse of Theorem 3.1 is not true, which is evident from the following example. Let $f(z)=e^{z}+z$ then $e^{z}+z=z$ implies $e^{z}=0$, which is impossible. Hence, $e^{z}+z$ has no fixed point.

## Remark 3.2 Consider the function

$$
f(z)=z-[g(z)]^{2},
$$

where $g(z)$ is an entire function having infinitely many zeros. It can be shown that $f(z)$ has no attractive fixed point, an infinite number of indifferent fixed points at the zeros of $g(z)$ and no repulsive fixed point.

## Remark 3.3 If we take the function

$$
f(z)=z+z e^{z}
$$

we see that, $f(z)$ has one repulsive fixed point at $z=0$ with multiplier 2 and no attractive or indifferent fixed point.

Future scope: In the line of Theorem 3.1, one may think of the derivation of existence of fixed points of order $p$ ( $p>0$, being an integer) of a bicomplex valued entire function which may be considered as an open problem in this area.
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